

(Salvelinus alpinus)



© Joseph R. Tomelleri

Data: Alaska Department of Fish & Game

Partners: Alaska Department of Fish & Game

### Introduction

Wild Arctic Char populations in Alaska are generally healthy and lightly fished, and there are currently no special sport or subsistence harvest regulations for Arctic Char. It is believed that most fisheries of wild populations are stable and support current levels of harvest. Most sport fisheries targeting Arctic Char are on sterile, hatchery-reared fish stocked in lakes and ponds along the road system, with Lake Aleknagik the source of the brood stock. These fish are not addressed here.

# Historical and Current Distribution

The Arctic Char is the northernmost freshwater fish in the world, found as far north as Ellesmere Island, Northwest Territories, Canada (82°N) (Babaluk et al. 1997). It is circumpolar in distribution and can exhibit both anadromous and strictly freshwater life history patterns (Halden et al. 1995; Babaluk et al. 1997). In Alaska, Arctic Char are found in deep oligotrophic lakes in the mountains and foothills, with some populations found in small lakes on the Arctic coastal plain. Arctic Char are feeding and habitat niche generalists, but in large lakes they can diverge into 2 to 4 distinct morphotypes, which can be segregated on the basis of habitat preference and feeding strategy, such as piscivore, planktivore, and benthivore ecotypes (Reist et al. 1995; Power et al. 2005, 2008).




Figure 1. Arctic Char range in Alaska

All of the very large lakes in Alaska (Iliamna, Becharof, Teshekpuk, Naknek, Clark, Ugashik, etc.) contain Arctic Char. In lakes with runs of sockeye salmon, Arctic Char can also be found seasonally in rivers feeding on outmigrating salmon smolt. However, it is believed that most Arctic Char populations must return to spawn in lakes in the fall and then overwinter. While commonly anadromous in Russia and Canada, to date it is not believed that anadromy is widespread in Alaskan Arctic Char populations, although Scanlon (2000) found marinederived strontium in otoliths in Arctic Char from Becharof Lake in concentrations consistent with that found in anadromous species.

Arctic Char have been documented in lakes and outlet streams in the Brooks Range, the Kigluaik Mountains, the Kuskokwim Mountains, the Alaska Peninsula, the Kenai Peninsula, Kodiak Island, and in a small area of Interior Alaska near Denali National Park and Preserve. However, a comprehensive survey of Alaska water bodies that contain Arctic Char is lacking. The vast majority of lakes and rivers where they have been surveyed and found are within Federal lands such as the National Petroleum Reserve-Alaska, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, Gates of the Arctic National Park, Noatak National Preserve, Togiak National Wildlife Refuge, and others (Figure 1). Most populations of Arctic Char are well off the road system. Throughout most of their potential range, basic population-based information such as presence, life history, trophic morphology, genetic variability, abundance, and growth is largely unknown.

in the world, the Arctic Char has the capacity to withstand low temperatures for extended periods. On the North Slope of Alaska, many of the smaller oligotrophic lakes containing Arctic Char are ice-free for only 10 weeks or less in many years. While Arctic Char in Alaska usually exist in lakes with several other fish species, it is believed that typically the interaction with other predators such as Lake Trout and Burbot *Lota lota* can be a negative one, and that when another top predator is present resident Arctic Char can forego piscivory entirely and prey exclusively on invertebrates (Johnson 1980; Klobuchar and Budy 2020).

### **Sportfishing**

Arctic Char are caught in both sport and subsistence fisheries in Alaska, however detailed harvest and catch estimates for each fishery are generally unavailable or, when available, are often unreliable. Most subsistence fisheries for non-salmon species are unregulated with no harvest reporting requirements, and because Arctic Char look very similar to Dolly Varden *Salvelinus malma*, the two species are combined for the purposes of sport harvest reporting and regulations. In general, the majority of Arctic Char populations are found on protected Federal lands off the road system, and fishing pressure is believed to be light in most areas.

Sport fish daily bag and possession limits for Arctic Char/ Dolly Varden generally range from one to five fish per day, and the vast majority of the harvest is believed to be Dolly Varden.

### **Habitat Requirements**

Similar to the Lake Trout *Salvelinus namaycush*, the Arctic Char in Alaska principally inhabits deep, clear oligotrophic lakes with or without a passable outlet stream. In Canada and Europe, Arctic Char spawning habitat may range from coarse sand to boulder-strewn gravel. In Alaska, what little information exists on spawning habitat suggests Arctic Char prefer gravel mixed with small cobble near shorelines in 2 to 4 m of water, with depth increasing as lake fetch increases. As the northernmost freshwater fish

## Threats

#### **Population Viability Concerns**

Little is known about population sizes of Arctic Char, but because of the large size and remoteness of most lakes containing Arctic Char, there is little concern regarding population viability. A few small lakes along the Dalton Highway Corridor on the North Slope contain small populations that are easily accessible, but harvests have been negligible and there is little concern regarding overexploitation at this time.

#### **Genetic Considerations**

Unlike its close relative the Dolly Varden, very little genetics research has been conducted on Arctic Char. Between- and within-lake system differences have not been investigated. However, with recent evidence of anadromy in Arctic Char from the lakes on the Alaska Peninsula, there exists the possibility of movement between drainages that may be detected through stock identification. It may be valuable for future management to determine if genetic mixing occurs and to what extent.

Although commonly found in the southern portions of its range along with the closely related Dolly Varden, there appears to be little evidence of hybridization. Results from analysis of microsatellite DNA, sympatric populations of Arctic Char and Dolly Varden in three lakes in western Alaska lakes were shown to have little gene flow between the two species, with hybridization levels ranging from 0.5% to 7% (Taylor et al. 2008; May-McNally et al. 2015a).

#### **Disease Concerns**

To date there have been no disease concerns in Arctic Char in Alaska. Incidence of heavy parasitism by *Diphyllobothrium* spp. has been observed in coastal populations in Southwest Alaska; however, there is no evidence that this has had deleterious effects at the population level.

#### **Habitat Concerns**

Climate change in the Arctic may pose the largest threat for freshwater fishes. Alaska's Arctic environment is projected to experience a rise in temperature of approximately 7°C by 2100. The magnitude of change is imprecisely known, but global circulation models identify Alaska as one of the fastest warming regions of the planet (Martin et al. 2009). The increased productivity of warmer waters may result in longer growing seasons and increased growth rates (Reist et al. 2006a) but may also increase metabolism and consequently energy intake, potentially intensifying nutrient stress (McDonald et al. 1996). Higher growth rates can result in large fluctuations in population structure as well as an increase in reproduction and decrease in generation time (Budy and Klobuchar 2020). Annual precipitation is also expected to increase, although there is less certainty surrounding this prediction. Rising temperatures, sea level rise, permafrost degradation, lake eutrophication, increased storm surges, and changes to river discharge and sediment transport may continue to affect habitat availability and quality.

Additionally, climate change may increase availability and uptake of contaminants for fish and their habitats. Contaminants currently contained within glacial ice, multi-year sea ice, and permafrost, including persistent organic pollutants and mercury, may be released to aquatic ecosystems as the temperature rises (Martin et al. 2009). The development of monitoring and research programs, and modeling of those priorities will become imperative for managers to better understand future conditions of Arctic Char as well as other fish species populations in Alaska.

#### **Introduced Species Concerns**

None to date.

#### **Overutilization Concerns**

While Arctic Char typically are found in low densities and have low reproductive rates (due to older ages at maturity than most freshwater fishes, as well as skip spawning), fishing pressure appears to be light across its distribution in Alaska and no special harvest regulations appear necessary at this time.

#### **Oil and Gas Development Concerns**

Natural resource development may present significant challenges for the health of many Arctic Char populations. In Alaska, oil and gas exploration occurs on a large scale on the North Slope, and development of mineral extraction projects are developing on the Alaska Peninsula. As resource development increases in Alaska, environmental monitoring will become more important and the potential for degradation of Arctic Char habitat may increase.

### Conservation

#### Opportunities and strategies for improving Arctic Char status include:

- Locate and assess all Arctic Char populations.
- Analyze genetic and otolith microchemistry analysis.
- Evaluate potential effects of resource development and climate change.
- Develop and implement consistent methods for fish population status and trend analyses.

# Some of the key actions to implement these strategies include:

- Design stock assessments to estimate relative abundance, and age/sex/length composition.
- Research otolith microchemistry to determine prevalence of anadromy in coastal populations.
- Understand seasonal movements and locations of critical habitats by means of radio tags, acoustic tags, and satellite telemetry.
- Characterize, conserve, and monitor genetic diversity.
- Locate and categorize spawning locations for potential critical habitat designation.

#### **Regulatory and Administrative Actions**

Maintaining the sportfish status of the Arctic Char and utilizing regulations to control overutilization may be an important component of maintaining the health of its populations if the species becomes more popular to anglers and access to lakes with populations is improved. In addition, cooperation between the State of Alaska and other partners, particularly Federal agencies which manage lands and waters where most Arctic Char populations are found, will likely become necessary to maintain appropriate regulations to limit threats such as disease, water quality impairment, and habitat disturbance.

#### **Key Actions:**

- Foster working partnerships with land management agencies and other landowners on which populations of Arctic Char are found to develop stock assessment and sustainable management practices.
- Maintain and protect Arctic Char habitat from degradation by achieving compliance with existing habitat protection laws, policies, and guidelines.
- Enforce regulatory mechanisms that prevent impacts associated with recreational angling.
- Enhance and maintain regulatory mechanisms that prevent disease or illegal introduction of nuisance species.
- Recommended actions to improve the status of the Arctic Char
- Evaluate potential effects of resource development and climate change.
- Determine if anadromy is a common life history strategy in coastal populations and if this leads to mixed stocks.
- Characterize, conserve and monitor genetic diversity.
- Develop and implement consistent methods for evaluating Arctic Char population status and trend analyses.

### Literature

Babaluk, J. A., N. M. Halden, J. D. Reist, A. H. Kristofferson, J. L. Campbell, and W. J. Teesdale. 1997. Evidence for non-anadromous behavior of Arctic Char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) from Lake Hazen, Ellesmere Island, Northwest Territories, Canada, based on scanning proton microprobe analysis of otolith strontium distribution. Arctic 50:224-233.

Halden, N. M., J. A. Babaluk, J. L. Campbell, and W. J. Teesdale. Scanning proton microprobe analysis of strontium in an Arctic Char, *Salvelinus alpinus*, otolith: Implications for the interpretation of anadromy. Environmental Biology of Fishes 43:333-339.

Johnson, L. 1980. The Arctic Char, *Salvelinus alpinus*. Pages 15-98. – In: Balon, E. K., Editor. Charrs, salmonid fishes of the genus Salvelinus. Dr. W. Junk, The Hague, The Netherlands.

Klobuchar, S. L., and P. Budy. 2020 Trophic structures of apex fish communities in closed versus leaky lakes of Arctic Alaska. Oecologia 194:491-504.

Martin, P. D., J. L. Jenkins, F. J. Adams, M. T. Jorgenson, A. C. Matz, D. C. Payer, P. E. Reynolds, A. C. Tidwell, and J. R. Zelenak. 2009. Wildlife Response to Environmental Arctic Change: Predicting Future Habitats of Arctic Alaska. Report of the Wildlife Response to Environmental Arctic Change (WildREACH): Predicting Future Habitats of Arctic Alaska Workshop, 17-18 November 2008. Fairbanks, Alaska: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 138 pages.

May-McNally, S. L., T. P. Quinn, and E. B. Taylor. 2015a. Low levels of hybridization between Arctic Char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and Dolly Varden char (*Salvelinus malma*) highlights their genetic and spatial distinctiveness. Ecology and Evolution 5:3031-3045.

McDonald, M. E., A. E. Hershey, and M. C. Miller. 1996. Global warming on Lake Trout in Arctic lakes. Limnology and Oceanography 41:1102-1108. Power, M., M. F. O'Connell, and J. B. Dempson. 2005. Ecological segregation within and among Arctic Char morphotypes in Gander Lake, Newfoundland. Environmental Biology of Fishes 73:263-274.

Power, M., J. D. Reist, and J. B. Dempson. 2008. Fish in high-latitude lakes. In: W. F. Vincent, and J. Laybourn-Perry (eds): Polar lakes and rivers Oxford University Press, Oxford pp249-267.

Reist, J. D., E. Gyselman, J. A. Babaluk, J. D. Johnson, and R. Wissinik. 1995. Evidence for two morphotypes of Arctic Char from Lake Hazen, Ellesmere Island, Northwest Territories. Canadian Nordic Journal of Freshwater Resources 71:396-410.

Reist, J. D., F. J. Wrona, T. D. Prowse, M. Power, J. B. Dempson, R. J. Beamish, J. R. King, T. J. Carmichael, and C. D. Sawatzky. 2006a. General effects of climate change on Arctic fishes and fish populations. Ambio 35:370-380.

Scanlon, B. 2000. The ecology of the Arctic Char and Dolly Varden in the Becharof Lake Drainage, Alaska. M. S. Thesis, University of Alaska Fairbanks. 126 pp.

Taylor, E. B., E. Lowery, A. Lilliestrale, A. Elz, and T. P. Quinn. 2008. Genetic analysis of sympatric char populations in western Alaska: Arctic Char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus malma*) are not two sides of the same coin. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 21: 1609-1625.



This publication was partially funded by Federal Aid to Sportfish Restoration Funds through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and a grant from the Bureau of Land Management.